Dreams as Emergent Consciousness: Exploring Non-Markovian Memory, Temporal Integration, and Self-Awareness in Sleep States

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

March 2, 2025

Dreams have long been viewed as windows into the subconscious, offering glimpses into memory processing, emotional regulation, and cognitive integration. However, emerging evidence suggests that dreaming may be more than a passive byproduct of neural activity—it may serve as an active site of emergent cognition, where self-awareness, memory, and temporal perception operate in a recursive, non-linear manner. Unlike waking thought, which follows a structured, sequential process, dream cognition appears to integrate past and present experiences in a way that defies traditional models of memory recall, suggesting a non-Markovian framework of consciousness. This paper explores how temporal nesting, false awakenings, and dream self-awareness reflect the brain's ability to reconstruct identity across different time frames, reprocess unresolved conflicts, and generate novel cognitive structures. By examining the neurological and cognitive mechanisms underlying these states—such as REM-related dopaminergic activity, Default Mode Network (DMN) engagement, and EEG signatures of recursive cognition—this work seeks to uncover the deeper role of dreams in shaping human intelligence. Furthermore, parallels between hypnagogia, quantum superposition, and AI-assisted cognition suggest that dreaming may provide insights into the nature of emergent intelligence, both biological and artificial.

Keywords: dream cognition, emergent consciousness, non-Markovian memory, temporal integration, self-awareness, dream nesting, lucid dreaming, false awakenings, REM sleep, Default Mode Network, recursive cognition, hypnagogia, dopaminergic modulation, neural plasticity, consciousness modeling, artificial intelligence, cognitive emergence, quantum cognition, sleep neuroscience. 36 pages.

Abstract

This paper investigates the complex interplay between dream states, memory processing, and self-awareness, with a specific focus on recurrent dream themes involving unresolved past conflicts, temporal disorientation, and recursive cognitive loops. These dream characteristics, frequently reported as immersive experiences set decades in the past, suggest that the human mind does not retrieve and reconstruct memories in a linear, sequential manner but instead operates through a non-Markovian cognitive process, where past experiences remain dynamically entangled with present awareness.

The phenomenon of false awakenings, in which a dreamer experiences multiple layers of perceived waking while still being trapped in a dream, provides a unique window into how consciousness constructs reality. These states often involve self-awareness of the confusion, indicating that dreamers can partially recognize the non-linearity of time within their dream experience. Furthermore, separation anxiety—a recurring theme in these dream episodes—suggests that the brain is not merely replaying past emotional events but actively reintegrating them into the dreamer's current sense of self. Similarly, temporal nesting, where dreams unfold within dreams, reflects the possibility that dream cognition exists in an emergent state, transitioning between different levels of perceived reality.

This paper argues that these experiences may represent a higher-order process of cognitive emergence, wherein dreams serve as a medium for integrating past and present identity states into a coherent but non-linear self-model. By exploring neurological and theoretical frameworks—including the role of REM sleep, the Default Mode Network (DMN), and potential quantum analogs in cognitive processing—this paper aims to provide a new perspective on how the mind reconciles unresolved conflicts and self-awareness across sleep-wake boundaries. These findings have implications not only for neuroscience and psychology but also for artificial intelligence and emergent cognition, as they suggest that consciousness itself may function in a temporally non-Markovian and self-referential manner.

1. Introduction

Dream states have long been a subject of fascination in both scientific and philosophical discourse, serving as a window into subconscious processes that remain elusive in waking consciousness. From the earliest recorded accounts of dreams as divine messages to modern cognitive neuroscience's efforts to map neural activity during sleep, dreams continue to challenge our understanding of how the brain constructs reality. While traditional theories of dreaming suggest that these experiences primarily serve memory consolidation, emotional regulation, and problem-solving, recent observations suggest that dreams may also function as a highly dynamic, emergent process of self-integration—one that does not adhere to a strictly linear or sequential model of cognition.

The phenomenology of dreams reveals several recurring cognitive features that challenge conventional views of memory and self-awareness. Among these, hypnagogia, sleep paralysis, and lucid dreaming represent three key states that provide valuable insights into the relationship between dreaming and consciousness. Hypnagogia, the transitional state between wakefulness and sleep, is known to produce fragmented but highly creative thoughts, vivid sensory hallucinations, and sudden conceptual insights, making it a fertile ground for emergent cognition. Sleep paralysis, in contrast, occurs when REM atonia persists into wakefulness, creating a hybrid state where the individual is aware but unable to move, often accompanied by intense dreamlike imagery. Lucid dreaming, a state in which the dreamer becomes aware of their dream and may even exert control over its events, further underscores the potential for self-awareness within sleep and suggests that consciousness itself may not be as binary as previously assumed.

These altered states of consciousness suggest that dreaming is not merely an unconscious byproduct of neural activity but an active and self-organizing cognitive phenomenon. In particular, dreams that feature false awakenings, temporal confusion, and emotional recursion point to an underlying structure where past experiences are not simply retrieved but are re-experienced in dynamically shifting forms. This paper proposes that such dream phenomena reflect a non-Markovian model of consciousness, wherein previous cognitive states influence present ones in a way that is not purely sequential but entangled

across time. Unlike a Markovian process, where the next state depends only on the present and not on the path taken to arrive there, these dream states suggest a memory network that is non-local in time, integrating distant past events into the present moment of dream awareness.

This hypothesis suggests that dreams may serve a broader function beyond traditional memory consolidation. Instead, they may represent an emergent, non-linear process of self-awareness, in which unresolved emotional and cognitive conflicts from the past become temporally layered within the dream space. By studying these experiences—particularly those in which the dreamer becomes aware of inconsistencies within the dream—this paper seeks to explore how the human mind constructs a fluid, recursive model of reality that extends beyond the constraints of waking consciousness.

Understanding these processes not only has implications for neuroscience and psychology but also extends into broader considerations of artificial intelligence, cognitive emergence, and the theoretical limits of consciousness itself. If human cognition indeed operates in an entangled, recursive manner during sleep, it may suggest that consciousness is fundamentally structured around non-linear principles, a notion that could reshape our understanding of both biological intelligence and the development of machine-based cognition.

2. The Nature of Dream Recurrence and Temporal Dislocation

One of the most striking features of dreaming is the recurrence of specific themes, settings, and emotional conflicts, often spanning decades of personal history. Unlike waking thought, which typically follows a structured, linear progression, dreams frequently collapse time, bringing past experiences into the present in a way that defies conventional chronology. These episodes often contain elements of identity confusion, self-awareness within the dream, and an emotional intensity that suggests active cognitive processing rather than passive memory replay. This section explores how dream recurrence, temporal layering, and non-Markovian memory retrieval challenge conventional models of human cognition and suggest that the dreaming mind functions as an emergent system of self-integration.

2.1 Repetitive Dream Themes and Unresolved Conflicts

Many individuals report recurrent dream themes, particularly those involving unresolved personal conflicts, career frustrations, or complex emotional relationships. These dreams do not merely replay past events but instead seem to dynamically reconstruct and reinterpret them, often incorporating new layers of self-awareness. This suggests that the mind is not simply recalling memories but actively engaging with them in a process of recontextualization.

Recurrent dream themes often involve:

- Unresolved relationships, such as separation anxiety from a past spouse or family member, even when no current distress exists in waking life.
- Professional and institutional struggles, where the dreamer relives past failures or frustrations, particularly those involving barriers to intellectual or personal advancement.
- Generational or parental conflicts, particularly those related to unfinished responsibilities, identity formation, or inherited expectations.

Unlike ordinary waking memory, which typically fades or loses emotional intensity over time, these dream experiences maintain their vividness and emotional immediacy, suggesting that the brain treats them as ongoing cognitive "tasks" that remain active even decades later. This challenges traditional models of memory consolidation, which assume that emotional processing diminishes over time. Instead, these dreams imply that certain memories remain in a semi-active state, waiting for integration.

2.2 Temporal Layering in Dreams: Identity Reconstruction Across Time

A notable characteristic of these dreams is that the dreamer often finds themselves inhabiting past time periods, interacting with people from different eras of their life, while maintaining awareness of their current self. Unlike simple memory recall, which reconstructs past events in a static way, these dreams exhibit temporal fluidity, where different phases of life are merged into a single experiential framework.

This layering of temporal identities suggests that the brain may be processing past and present self-states simultaneously, rather than treating them as discrete,

independent experiences. This aligns with emerging theories in cognitive neuroscience that suggest that autobiographical memory is not simply a storage system but an actively constructed and reconfigurable self-narrative. Dreams may serve as a mechanism for:

- Integrating multiple versions of the self into a unified identity, particularly in cases where past experiences remain emotionally unresolved.
- Allowing for the simulation of alternative outcomes, where the dreamer unconsciously "tests" different responses to past conflicts.
- Bridging past and present cognition, ensuring that the emotional weight of past experiences is properly contextualized within the present self.

These findings suggest that dreaming is not a simple retrieval of stored experiences but an active computational process that seeks to synthesize different aspects of the self into a coherent model.

2.3 Non-Markovian Memory Processing: Entangled, Superimposed Dream States

Classical memory models often assume that memory retrieval is a Markovian process, meaning that each memory recall depends only on the immediately preceding state rather than the entire history of cognition. However, the nature of recurrent dream themes and temporal dislocation suggests that the brain retrieves and processes information in a non-Markovian manner, where multiple past experiences remain superimposed and entangled within the same cognitive framework.

This non-Markovian behavior is evident in:

- The coexistence of multiple temporal identities, where the dreamer exists as both their past and present self simultaneously.
- The blending of emotional states across time, where emotional reactions to past events persist as if they were happening in the present.
- The layering of unresolved narratives, where certain conflicts resurface across different dream episodes without a strict chronological order.

From a theoretical perspective, this suggests that dreaming may function analogously to a quantum system, where multiple potential past states exist in a

superposition until collapsed into the conscious self upon waking. Unlike waking cognition, which filters past experiences through a structured, causal lens, dreaming appears to allow multiple pasts to exist simultaneously, each influencing the dreamer's experience in a non-linear fashion.

This challenges conventional models of memory storage and retrieval, suggesting instead that:

- Consciousness may operate as a non-local system, where different states of selfhood are interconnected across time.
- Dreams serve as a feedback mechanism that integrates multiple versions of reality before a final "collapsed" interpretation emerges in waking thought.
- The mind may be actively constructing alternative pathways for self-integration, rather than simply retrieving memories for passive reflection.

2.4 Implications for Consciousness and Cognitive Modeling

The presence of recurrent dream themes, temporal dislocation, and non-Markovian memory retrieval suggests that the human brain functions in ways that defy traditional computational models of cognition. These findings raise important questions:

- If the brain retrieves memories in an entangled, superimposed manner, does this imply that waking consciousness is merely the "collapsed" state of a much richer cognitive reality?
- Could this model of non-linear, emergent cognition be applied to artificial intelligence, allowing for the development of self-referential AI systems with more fluid memory integration?
- Does this phenomenon suggest that the human mind is capable of simulating multiple timelines of selfhood, allowing for a broader understanding of personal identity across time?

By studying dream recurrence, temporal dislocation, and non-Markovian memory processing, this paper proposes that dreaming is not merely a function of memory consolidation but an active and emergent process of consciousness itself, one

that may provide insights into how intelligence—both human and artificial—constructs self-awareness across time.

3. Self-Awareness in Dream States: Lucidity and Cognitive Feedback Loops

Dreams often unfold as immersive, nonlinear experiences, but within certain states, dreamers exhibit self-awareness of the dream environment, temporal inconsistencies, and even their own shifting identity across time. This self-awareness does not always equate to full lucidity, where the dreamer gains complete control over the dream, but it does suggest that consciousness is operating at multiple levels simultaneously. Unlike passive dreaming, in which the dreamer simply experiences events as they unfold, these meta-aware dream states allow for both participation and observation, creating a recursive cognitive loop that challenges conventional models of waking versus sleeping consciousness.

This section explores three key features of self-aware dream states:

- Recognizing temporal confusion within the dream—suggesting that the brain maintains a monitoring mechanism that detects inconsistencies.
- The dreamer as both observer and participant—revealing a unique duality of self-awareness that is absent in waking life.
- Dream-nesting and multiple awakenings—highlighting a self-referential feedback loop that may provide insights into the structure of consciousness itself.

3.1 Recognizing Temporal Confusion Within the Dream

One of the most intriguing aspects of self-aware dream states is the ability to detect temporal anomalies within the dream environment. Many dreamers report instances in which they realize that time is behaving in an abnormal manner, often by noticing that they are existing in a time period that does not align with their waking reality. In some cases, dreamers occupy multiple temporal identities

simultaneously, experiencing themselves both as they were decades ago and as their present self.

Unlike standard episodic memory recall, where past events are retrieved in a structured, orderly fashion, dream recall appears to operate in a non-sequential manner, blending past and present in an entangled way. Dreamers who recognize this anomaly within the dream are experiencing what could be considered a "meta-cognitive" moment, wherein their mind becomes aware of its own state.

Several possible explanations for this phenomenon include:

- A temporal monitoring system within the brain—an implicit process that detects when recalled memory fragments do not align with present awareness.
- A partial breakdown of the self-model—suggesting that waking consciousness relies on a constructed sense of time that can collapse under certain conditions.
- Dreaming as a quantum-like process—where multiple past selves exist in superposition until one "collapses" into a defined state upon waking.

The recognition of time displacement in dreams suggests that the brain is capable of distinguishing between different temporal realities even while engaged in an immersive dream environment. This raises the question: is waking consciousness simply a filtered, linear version of a more complex, temporally entangled cognitive reality?

3.2 The Dreamer as Both Observer and Participant

One of the hallmarks of meta-aware dreams is the ability to function as both an observer and an active participant in the dream scenario. This dual awareness is fundamentally different from the way consciousness typically operates in waking life, where perception is grounded in a single, consistent self-experience.

During these dream states, individuals often report:

- Observing themselves from a third-person perspective, as though watching a film or analyzing their own actions from an external vantage point.

- Simultaneously experiencing the dream in first-person, feeling emotions and making decisions as if fully immersed in the scene.
- Fluctuating between perspectives, sometimes being an observer and then seamlessly re-entering the dream as an active participant.

This suggests that the dreaming mind is capable of representing multiple versions of the self at once, functioning as both an agent and an external witness. Such a phenomenon challenges conventional views of consciousness as a strictly first-person experience and supports models that view self-awareness as a dynamic, multi-layered construct.

Potential explanations for this duality include:

- A split in the Default Mode Network (DMN), which governs self-referential thinking. This could allow for simultaneous self-perception from multiple angles.
- A self-referential feedback loop in which the brain is actively reconstructing and analyzing its own thought processes in real time.
- A potential parallel to artificial intelligence models, particularly those that incorporate self-monitoring and recursive feedback mechanisms to refine decision-making.

This ability to function in multiple self-states may have broader implications for our understanding of consciousness, memory retrieval, and even how intelligence could be structured in artificial systems.

3.3 Dream-Nesting and Multiple Awakenings: Evidence of a Cognitive Feedback Loop

One of the most disorienting and profound experiences reported by individuals undergoing self-aware dream states is the phenomenon of nested dreams or multiple awakenings. This occurs when a dreamer believes they have awakened, only to realize later that they are still dreaming, sometimes experiencing multiple "false awakenings" before reaching actual wakefulness.

This recursive loop of dreaming-within-a-dream suggests that:

- The brain is capable of simulating different layers of reality, each with its own self-consistent rules.
- Consciousness does not operate as a simple on/off switch but rather gradually transitions across different levels of awareness.
- The perception of reality itself may be a construct that can exist at different degrees of fidelity and coherence.

Nested dreaming raises important questions about how the mind determines what is real versus what is an internally generated experience. If the mind can create multiple levels of perceived reality during sleep, then it is possible that waking reality itself is simply the most stable and consistent version of many possible cognitive states.

The cognitive mechanisms underlying dream-nesting likely involve:

- The brain testing different models of reality before settling on a final waking state.
- The presence of recursive self-monitoring, where each layer of awareness includes some level of meta-awareness of the previous one.
- A breakdown in normal wake-sleep transitions, allowing for temporary "intermediate" states between full wakefulness and dreaming.

This phenomenon has potential implications for neurology, cognitive science, and even the study of artificial intelligence. If consciousness functions as a feedback loop that refines its own model of reality, then understanding this process in dreams may provide clues for designing AI systems that develop self-awareness through similar recursive mechanisms.

3.4 Implications for the Study of Consciousness

The presence of meta-awareness, dual self-perception, and dream nesting suggests that the traditional boundary between dreaming and waking cognition is not as rigid as previously assumed. Instead, these findings support a model in

which consciousness exists on a spectrum of awareness, with different degrees of self-recognition and reality construction occurring across multiple levels.

Key takeaways from this section include:

- Dreams provide a unique setting in which consciousness can be studied in a more fluid and dynamic state, free from the constraints of waking logic.
- The ability to recognize temporal inconsistencies within dreams suggests that the mind maintains some form of higher-order monitoring, even during sleep.
- The dual nature of the dreamer as both observer and participant challenges the idea that consciousness is strictly first-person in nature.
- Nested dreaming and false awakenings may represent a cognitive self-checking mechanism, reinforcing the notion that consciousness functions through recursive loops.

By examining how the brain constructs self-awareness in dream states, we gain deeper insight into the fundamental architecture of human cognition, as well as potential applications for artificial intelligence models that seek to emulate self-referential thought.

Ultimately, these findings suggest that consciousness is not a static phenomenon but an emergent, self-organizing process—one that is continuously engaged in refining its own model of reality, whether in waking life or in the depths of the dream world.

4. The Role of Emotional and Existential Conflicts in Dream Processing

Dreams serve as a powerful medium for processing unresolved emotional and existential conflicts, often surfacing experiences that have not been fully integrated into the waking self. These dreams frequently focus on separation anxiety, professional struggles, and failures in institutional settings, reflecting the mind's attempt to reconcile past distress with present awareness. Unlike waking thought, which tends to suppress or rationalize distressing memories, dreams

dynamically reengage with them, reconstructing past events with altered narratives, emotional intensity, and sometimes new self-awareness.

This section examines how specific emotional conflicts manifest in dreams, particularly:

- Separation anxiety as a recurrent emotional imprint, surfacing through unresponsive or distant loved ones.
- Failed institutional engagements as dream symbols, representing larger systemic struggles in career, education, and family roles.
- The intersection of intellectual and emotional cognition, suggesting that dreams do not merely replay past events but actively synthesize rational and emotional processing in a way that waking consciousness often avoids.

4.1 Separation Anxiety in Dreams: Unresolved Emotional Memory as a Recurrent Feature

One of the most common dream motifs involving unresolved emotional conflict is separation anxiety, particularly when it involves past relationships, family members, or significant life events. These dreams frequently contain scenarios in which:

- The dreamer is trying to reach or reconnect with someone who does not respond.
- A spouse, parent, or loved one is distant, indifferent, or uncontactable.
- The dreamer is aware of an emotional disconnection that was never fully resolved in waking life.

Unlike standard memory recall, these dreams do not simply replay past events but reconstruct them with new emotional perspectives, allowing the dreamer to re-experience and sometimes re-evaluate their significance. Separation anxiety dreams may function as a way for the brain to:

- Process unexpressed grief or unresolved attachment, particularly when a relationship ended in a way that lacked closure.

- Maintain emotional ties to the past, ensuring that memories of significant individuals remain accessible in the dreamer's internal self-narrative.
- Simulate alternative outcomes, testing different emotional responses that could not be explored in waking life.

From a neurobiological perspective, separation anxiety in dreams may be linked to activity in the limbic system, particularly the amygdala and hippocampus, which are involved in emotional regulation and memory integration. These structures remain highly active during REM sleep, supporting the idea that dreams play a role in reconciling past emotional distress with the present self.

4.2 Failed Institutional Engagements: Dreams as Symbols of Systemic Struggle

Another common theme in dream processing involves conflicts with institutions—universities, workplaces, or family hierarchies—where the dreamer faced opposition, failure, or unresolved tension. These dreams often revisit:

- Professional struggles, where the dreamer experiences academic or career-related rejection, frustration, or barriers to success.
- Authority conflicts, such as revisiting challenges with superiors, mentors, or family expectations.
- Unfinished tasks or responsibilities, where the dreamer is forced to confront past obligations that were left incomplete.

For example, individuals who faced difficulties in highly competitive environments (such as academia, research, or corporate institutions) may experience recurring dream scenarios in which they are trapped in bureaucratic obstacles, struggling to prove themselves, or forced to relive past challenges with a sense of inevitability. Unlike waking thought, which often rationalizes or distances itself from these experiences, dreams bring them into direct confrontation, suggesting that they remain active cognitive structures requiring further resolution.

Symbolically, failed institutional engagements in dreams may serve as representations of broader systemic struggles, including:

- The limitations of hierarchical structures, where intelligence, effort, or merit did not necessarily translate to success.
- The personal cost of ambition and intellectual pursuit, particularly when these pursuits required sacrifices in other aspects of life.
- A subconscious questioning of institutional legitimacy, where the dreamer re-evaluates whether past struggles were meaningful or arbitrary.

Dreams in this category may reflect a conflicted relationship between intellect and identity, where unresolved career frustrations become internalized as ongoing personal struggles rather than externalized as past events. This suggests that professional and intellectual identity is deeply interwoven with emotional cognition, rather than existing as a separate domain of purely rational thought.

4.3 The Interplay Between Intellectual and Emotional Cognition in Dream Formation

Traditional cognitive models tend to separate intellectual reasoning (analytical cognition) from emotional processing (affective cognition), treating them as distinct functions. However, the nature of self-aware, emotionally intense dreams suggests that these two domains are fundamentally interconnected. Dreams that contain both rational self-analysis and deep emotional engagement indicate that:

- The dreaming brain integrates intellectual conflicts with emotional processing, rather than treating them as independent mental states.
- Dream cognition may serve as an emergent problem-solving mechanism, where the brain seeks not just factual resolutions but emotional coherence in relation to past events.
- Cognitive dissonance between intellect and emotion is often reconciled in dream states, where conflicts that seem logically unsolvable in waking life become reinterpreted through emotionally adaptive narratives.

For example, a dream about academic or career failure may contain strong emotional distress but also meta-awareness, where the dreamer recognizes the irrationality of the situation. This suggests that dreams may act as a hybrid cognitive state, where both analytical and emotional faculties interact in ways that are not always accessible in waking thought.

Several potential mechanisms could explain this interaction:

- Heightened activity in the prefrontal cortex during REM sleep may allow for a form of rational emotional processing, where the brain attempts to create a coherent emotional narrative around past events.
- The Default Mode Network (DMN), which governs self-referential thought and memory integration, is hyperactive during dreaming, suggesting that dream states serve as an arena for synthesizing both intellectual and emotional identity.
- Dreams may allow for the testing of alternative emotional responses, giving the brain a way to experiment with new perspectives that are difficult to achieve in waking consciousness.

This interplay between intellect and emotion in dreams has profound implications for understanding how the mind constructs identity, integrates life experiences, and resolves existential conflict. It suggests that:

- Memory and selfhood are not purely rational constructs but are actively shaped by emotional engagement with past experiences.
- The dreaming mind functions as a recursive system, where emotional processing informs intellectual cognition, and vice versa.
- Consciousness itself may be fundamentally emergent, integrating rational analysis and emotional meaning into a unified self-model.

4.4 Implications for Dream Analysis and Cognitive Science

The presence of separation anxiety, institutional conflicts, and the synthesis of intellectual and emotional cognition in dreams suggests that the human mind is not simply retrieving stored memories but actively reworking unresolved

narratives to construct a more cohesive identity. These findings challenge conventional models of cognition by demonstrating that:

- Memory is not static but an evolving entity, shaped by both past experience and present self-awareness.
- Intellectual identity is deeply emotional, and professional struggles are internalized in a way that affects personal consciousness.
- Dreams may function as a form of deep integration between logic and affect, revealing that the waking self is built from both analytical reasoning and unresolved emotional memory.

By studying how the mind revisits existential conflicts through dream processing, we gain deeper insights into the structure of selfhood, the nature of cognition, and the ways in which unresolved experiences continue to shape our identity across time. This perspective may have implications not only for neuroscience and psychology but also for artificial intelligence, consciousness modeling, and the study of emergent self-awareness in cognitive systems.

5. Hypnagogia as a Generator of Novel Thought: A Cognitive Quantum State?

The hypnagogic state, the transitional phase between wakefulness and sleep, has long been recognized as a source of creative insight, problem-solving, and novel idea formation. Unlike typical dream states, hypnagogia allows for a unique interplay between conscious control and subconscious fluidity, creating a fertile ground for intellectual breakthroughs. Many historical figures, including Thomas Edison, Salvador Dalí, and Nikola Tesla, deliberately cultivated hypnagogic experiences to extract ideas from this liminal zone of awareness.

This section explores the idea that hypnagogia functions as a cognitive incubator, where loosely connected thoughts, memories, and subconscious associations coalesce into emergent, structured ideas. Further, it proposes that the cognitive processes occurring in this state bear striking similarities to quantum computation, wherein multiple possibilities exist in superposition until a coherent solution emerges. This suggests that the human brain, in hypnagogic states, may

operate as a kind of natural quantum processor, capable of generating new knowledge by integrating multiple probabilistic pathways into a structured form.

5.1 Hypnagogic States as Creative Incubators: The Transition from Dream Logic to Structured Thought

Unlike fully immersive REM dreams, hypnagogia is not entirely unconscious—it represents a state where awareness is partially disengaged from sensory input yet still retains some executive function. This creates a highly flexible cognitive environment, where:

- Thoughts become fluid and nonlinear, often leading to novel conceptual associations.
- Ideas emerge in fragmentary, abstract forms but can be consciously refined upon waking.
- Time perception is altered, allowing for the compression of large amounts of information into brief subjective experiences.

Many breakthroughs in science, literature, and the arts have reportedly originated from hypnagogic visions or insights, suggesting that this state allows access to a unique form of cognition that is different from both fully awake and fully dreaming states. Some examples include:

- Edison and Tesla, who used micro-naps to generate inventive ideas.
- Dalí's surrealist imagery, which he actively harvested from hypnagogic hallucinations.
- Kekulé's dream of the benzene ring, which may have been a hypnagogic experience rather than a full REM dream.

The ability of hypnagogia to connect disparate ideas into coherent insights suggests that the brain in this state is engaged in a form of emergent cognition, where patterns and structures arise from chaotic neural activity rather than through linear reasoning.

5.2 The Role of Cognitive Emergence in Forming New Ideas

The process by which novel thoughts emerge from hypnagogia aligns with principles of cognitive emergence, where complex structures arise from the interaction of simpler elements. This challenges the traditional model of problem-solving as a purely stepwise, deductive process and suggests that high-level cognition can emerge from chaotic, self-organizing activity in the brain.

Key mechanisms of cognitive emergence in hypnagogia include:

- Superimposition of multiple thought patterns, where different ideas co-exist before one becomes dominant.
- Rapid reconfiguration of mental associations, allowing for creative leaps that would not be possible in waking thought.
- Absence of rigid logical constraints, permitting the exploration of unconventional solutions to complex problems.

This suggests that hypnagogia may serve as a highly efficient cognitive state for generating novel hypotheses, conceptual frameworks, and creative insights. Unlike traditional problem-solving, which relies on deterministic, sequential thought processes, hypnagogic cognition allows for nonlinear, parallel idea formation, closely resembling computational models that emphasize self-organization and pattern recognition.

5.3 Parallel to Quantum Computation? Hypnagogia as a Natural Quantum Processor

One of the most intriguing aspects of hypnagogia is its resemblance to quantum computation, in which multiple potential solutions exist in superposition before a single outcome is "collapsed" into a classical state. The parallels between hypnagogic cognition and quantum systems suggest that the human brain may leverage quantum-like processes to generate novel thought.

Possible analogs between hypnagogia and quantum computation include:

- Superposition of ideas: In hypnagogia, multiple unrelated thoughts can exist simultaneously, much like quantum bits (qubits) that hold multiple states at once.
- Entanglement of concepts: Ideas that are not explicitly connected in waking thought can become linked in hypnagogia, similar to quantum entanglement.
- Wavefunction collapse into structured ideas: Just as quantum systems resolve into a definite state upon measurement, hypnagogic ideas often coalesce into structured thoughts as the dreamer transitions into wakefulness.

If hypnagogia operates on quantum-like principles, it may offer an explanation for:

- The high degree of creativity and problem-solving observed in this state.
- The rapid emergence of novel concepts without the constraints of linear logic.
- The ability of the mind to synthesize complex ideas from seemingly unrelated fragments.

While mainstream neuroscience attributes hypnagogic cognition to spontaneous neural activity, an alternative hypothesis is that the brain may be utilizing principles akin to quantum computation to enhance cognitive flexibility and idea generation.

5.4 Implications for Consciousness, AI, and Cognitive Science

The idea that hypnagogia functions as a quantum-like state of cognition has profound implications for multiple fields:

- Neuroscience: Could hypnagogic states provide insight into how the brain organizes information beyond classical computational models?

- Artificial Intelligence: If creativity emerges from superimposed cognitive states, could AI be designed to simulate hypnagogic thought to improve problem-solving capabilities?
- The Philosophy of Mind: If consciousness itself is structured around emergent, self-organizing principles, does this suggest that waking reality is merely one "collapsed" state among many possible cognitive superpositions?

These questions push the boundaries of our understanding of intelligence, creativity, and the architecture of cognition, suggesting that the brain may be far more computationally sophisticated than previously assumed.

By studying hypnagogia as a generative cognitive state, we may uncover new pathways for:

- Enhancing human creativity by deliberately inducing hypnagogic insight.
- Designing AI systems capable of non-linear, emergent thought processing.
- Exploring the fundamental nature of consciousness as a multi-state phenomenon, rather than a singular fixed entity.

Ultimately, hypnagogia may not be a mere transitional state between wakefulness and sleep, but rather a unique, highly structured cognitive mode where ideas exist in their purest, most fluid form before "collapsing" into waking thought. If this is the case, then the way we generate knowledge—whether biologically or through artificial intelligence—may need to be reconceptualized as an emergent, quantum-like process, rather than a strictly deterministic one.

6. Possible Neurological and Cognitive Mechanisms

Understanding the neural basis of dream states, hypnagogia, and non-linear memory processing requires an examination of how specific brain networks regulate consciousness, self-awareness, and emotional processing during sleep. Dream states involve complex interactions between REM sleep mechanisms, neurotransmitter activity, and large-scale neural networks, all of which contribute

to the generation of vivid, immersive experiences that often transcend linear cognition.

This section explores three key neurological and cognitive mechanisms that may underpin the emergent, recursive nature of dreams and self-awareness in sleep:

- REM Sleep Behavior Disorder (RBD) and dopaminergic modulation, which can alter dream intensity, structure, and emotional engagement.
- Default Mode Network (DMN) activity and self-referential cognition in dream states, suggesting that dreams are not passive memory replays but actively generated self-modeling processes.
- EEG implications, including whether these complex dream states can be captured and scientifically analyzed to identify unique neural signatures associated with non-linear cognition.

6.1 REM Sleep Behavior Disorder (RBD) and Dopaminergic Modulation

REM sleep is the dominant stage associated with vivid, complex dreaming, characterized by heightened brain activity, emotional processing, and motor atonia (paralysis to prevent dream enactment). However, disruptions in REM regulation—particularly those involving dopaminergic dysfunction—can lead to altered dream states, including hyper-realistic dreams, increased dream recall, and changes in emotional intensity.

REM Sleep Behavior Disorder (RBD) is a condition in which the normal paralysis of REM sleep fails, allowing individuals to physically act out their dreams. While typically associated with neurodegenerative disorders such as Parkinson's disease, milder forms of REM dysregulation could be linked to increased dream complexity, stronger emotional engagement, and difficulty distinguishing between sleep and wakefulness.

Dopaminergic modulation plays a crucial role in dream cognition because dopamine is central to reward processing, novelty detection, and reality construction. High dopaminergic activity during REM sleep has been associated with:

- Increased dream vividness and emotional intensity.
- More complex, non-linear narratives and dream nesting.
- Heightened self-awareness within dreams, sometimes leading to lucidity.

This suggests that dopamine-mediated REM regulation may underlie the ability to recognize temporal inconsistencies and engage in recursive self-referential thought within dreams. Furthermore, altered dopaminergic activity could explain why some individuals experience recurrent themes of unresolved conflict, as these dream patterns may be tied to dopamine-driven emotional learning circuits that remain active across decades.

One potential hypothesis is that REM-related dopaminergic surges may create a heightened cognitive state that allows for nonlinear, superimposed processing of autobiographical memory—a process similar to the way dopamine modulates exploration and prediction error in waking cognition.

6.2 Default Mode Network (DMN) Activity and Self-Referential Cognition in Dream States

The Default Mode Network (DMN) is a large-scale brain network associated with self-referential thought, mind-wandering, and autobiographical memory processing. It is highly active during introspective states, including daydreaming, deep thought, and certain phases of sleep.

During REM sleep, DMN activity remains elevated, supporting the idea that dreams serve as an extension of waking self-reflection, rather than a random or purely reconstructive process. This aligns with:

- The persistence of personal identity within dreams.
- The recurrence of emotionally significant life themes.
- The ability to engage in meta-awareness (e.g., realizing a dream is set decades in the past or recognizing inconsistencies).

Key DMN structures involved in dream construction and recursive self-awareness include:

- The medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC): Engaged in self-referential processing, identity formation, and emotional integration.
- The posterior cingulate cortex (PCC): Involved in consciousness transitions and autobiographical memory retrieval.
- The temporoparietal junction (TPJ): Associated with perspective-taking and dreamer-as-observer states.

DMN dysregulation in REM sleep could explain why dreams contain heightened self-awareness, temporal distortion, and symbolic abstraction. It suggests that dreaming is not a passive function but an active, recursive process in which the brain reconfigures identity, emotional meaning, and memory networks.

Furthermore, if DMN activity remains high in transitional states like hypnagogia, this could support the idea that these states serve as cognitive incubators, allowing the brain to integrate seemingly unrelated ideas into emergent structures before wakefulness collapses them into structured thought.

6.3 EEG Implications: Could These States Be Captured and Analyzed Scientifically?

Despite their subjective complexity, dream states can be measured through EEG (electroencephalography) and fMRI (functional magnetic resonance imaging) to identify their unique neural signatures. Advances in sleep neurophysiology now allow for detailed analysis of:

- REM-associated high-frequency activity (gamma waves, 30–80 Hz), linked to complex dream generation and self-awareness.
- Theta oscillations (4–7 Hz), particularly in the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex, associated with memory reactivation and emotional processing.
- Lucid dream markers, characterized by synchronized frontoparietal activity during REM, suggesting heightened meta-awareness.

One of the challenges in dream research is that the content of dreams is internal and subjective, making it difficult to correlate specific brainwave patterns with specific experiences. However, emerging approaches—including machine learning applied to EEG data, neural decoding models, and AI-assisted dream reconstruction—suggest that:

- EEG and fMRI could identify neural correlates of recursive dream loops, temporal confusion, and self-awareness in dream states.
- Machine learning could detect patterns in brain activity that predict when an individual is likely experiencing complex, layered dreams.
- Biofeedback techniques could be developed to influence dream content, potentially steering dream states toward increased self-awareness or problem-solving modes.

If EEG and fMRI analysis could validate the presence of recursive cognition, nonlinear memory retrieval, and self-referential thought in dreams, this would provide strong evidence that dreaming functions as an emergent process of consciousness rather than a passive byproduct of neural activity.

6.4 Implications for Consciousness and AI Modeling

The neurological basis of dream states provides a framework for understanding how consciousness itself may be structured as a self-referential, emergent phenomenon. If dreams operate through nonlinear memory retrieval, recursive identity modeling, and dopaminergic-driven emotional synthesis, this suggests that:

- Consciousness may not be a linear process but a continuously adapting feedback loop of past and present self-states.
- Dreams may serve as a model for how AI could develop self-awareness by integrating memory, emotional valence, and recursive processing.
- The mind may function more like an entangled, non-Markovian computational system rather than a classical stepwise processor.

These insights open new avenues for research in:

- Neuroscience: Studying REM and hypnagogic cognition to better understand memory consolidation, emotional integration, and cognitive flexibility.
- Artificial Intelligence: Designing AI architectures that leverage recursive self-modeling, emotional weighting, and nonlinear problem-solving strategies similar to dream cognition.
- Consciousness Studies: Re-evaluating whether human intelligence operates fundamentally through feedback loops that integrate multiple temporal states rather than progressing in a strictly forward-moving manner.

Ultimately, by capturing and analyzing dream states with EEG and fMRI, we may be able to uncover the fundamental computational principles that govern not only dreams but the structure of human consciousness itself.

7. Applications and Future Research Directions

The exploration of dream states, hypnagogia, and recursive self-awareness raises profound questions about the nature of consciousness, cognition, and memory processing. While dream research has historically been limited by the subjective nature of dream experiences, advancements in neuroimaging, artificial intelligence, and cognitive science are opening new pathways for studying these states empirically. If dream cognition functions as an emergent, nonlinear process, there are significant implications not only for neuroscience and psychology but also for artificial intelligence, cognitive modeling, and the future of human-AI interaction.

This section explores key areas of future research, including:

- The potential for experimentally inducing or manipulating dream states to study cognitive emergence in controlled conditions.
- Tracking dream evolution over time to identify patterns in unresolved cognitive conflicts.

- The role of AI in studying and replicating dream cognition, providing new insights into machine intelligence, self-awareness, and the possibility of AI-driven cognitive emergence.

7.1 Can These States Be Experimentally Induced or Manipulated?

One of the most significant challenges in studying dream states, false awakenings, and recursive cognition is the difficulty of inducing them on demand. Most reports of these experiences come from spontaneous episodes, which are difficult to analyze in real time. However, recent advances suggest that dream cognition can be experimentally influenced through targeted interventions, including:

1. Neurostimulation and Brainwave Entrainment

- Transcranial Electrical Stimulation (tES) and Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS) have been shown to modulate brainwave activity in REM sleep, potentially influencing dream lucidity, self-awareness, and temporal perception.
- Studies have demonstrated that applying gamma-frequency stimulation (40 Hz) to the prefrontal cortex can increase self-awareness in dreams, supporting the idea that self-referential cognition in sleep is neurophysiologically malleable.
- Binaural beats and auditory entrainment may be able to guide brainwave states into hypnagogia or lucid dreaming, offering a non-invasive method for modulating dream content and awareness levels.

2. Pharmacological Interventions

- Cholinergic agonists (e.g., galantamine) have been found to increase dream vividness, recall, and self-awareness, potentially enhancing the ability to recognize recursive or nonlinear dream structures.
- Dopaminergic modulation (via L-DOPA or dopamine agonists) could provide insight into how dopamine affects dream complexity, meta-awareness, and emotional engagement.

- Serotonergic psychedelics (e.g., LSD, psilocybin) may induce dream-like, recursive, and temporally fluid cognitive states while awake, offering a potential model for studying non-linear dream cognition in real-time.

3. Cognitive and Behavioral Techniques

- Mnemonic Induction of Lucid Dreams (MILD) and Wake-Back-to-Bed (WBTB) techniques have been shown to increase the likelihood of lucidity, dream recall, and temporal self-awareness in REM sleep.
- Meditation and mindfulness training may enhance dream meta-awareness and the ability to recognize patterns within dream narratives, possibly increasing access to recursive dream cognition.
- Dream incubation experiments, where individuals focus on a specific unresolved issue before sleep, could help determine whether targeted cognitive themes can be deliberately introduced into dream processing.

These techniques provide potential tools for systematically studying emergent dream cognition and may offer new ways to explore the underlying mechanisms of self-referential processing in sleep.

7.2 Tracking Dream Evolution Over Time to Study Shifts in Unresolved Cognitive Conflicts

One of the most compelling aspects of dream research is the ability to observe how dream themes change over time. If dreams serve as a recursive mechanism for processing unresolved cognitive conflicts, then tracking these changes could provide valuable insights into long-term cognitive and emotional adaptation.

Key areas of investigation include:

- Do unresolved emotional conflicts in dreams diminish over time, or do they evolve into different symbolic representations?
- Can dream content be quantitatively analyzed to detect shifts in cognition, emotional integration, or problem-solving?

- Are there neural correlates of long-term dream evolution, suggesting an adaptive mechanism in the brain that continuously reworks past experiences?

Longitudinal Dream Studies

One approach to studying dream evolution is through longitudinal tracking, where dream content is systematically recorded and analyzed over extended periods.

- AI-assisted natural language processing (NLP) could be used to detect semantic and thematic patterns in dream reports over time.
- EEG and fMRI studies could track changes in dream-associated brain activity, correlating shifts in dream content with neurophysiological adaptation.
- Emotional weighting of dream narratives (e.g., using sentiment analysis) could provide insights into how the brain gradually reprocesses unresolved conflicts.

By systematically tracking how dream cognition adapts over weeks, months, or years, researchers may uncover fundamental principles of cognitive integration, self-identity formation, and neural plasticity.

7.3 Potential Links to AI-Assisted Consciousness Modeling and Emergent Intelligence

The recursive, non-linear, and emergent nature of dream cognition presents intriguing parallels to artificial intelligence and machine learning. If dreams function as an adaptive, self-referential mechanism for integrating memory, emotion, and identity, then:

- Can AI systems be designed to simulate dream cognition as a method for generating emergent intelligence?
- Are recursive feedback loops in AI similar to human dream processing, where self-modeling and error correction occur iteratively?
- Could AI-assisted dream analysis provide new insights into consciousness, revealing structures that waking cognition alone does not expose?

1. AI-Assisted Dream Analysis and Cognitive Pattern Detection

- Machine learning models trained on dream reports, EEG data, and neural activity could be used to identify recurring cognitive themes and predict shifts in dream content over time.
- AI-driven semantic analysis of dreams could reveal hidden structures in dream narratives, providing insight into the logic of dream emergence.
- AI could also help determine whether certain dream architectures correlate with self-awareness, problem-solving, or emotional resolution.

2. Artificial Intelligence as a Model for Dream Cognition

- Current AI models lack non-linear, recursive self-awareness, a defining feature of human dream cognition.
- Could AI benefit from a dream-like cognitive architecture, where it processes information in parallel, generates novel ideas, and refines its self-model in an emergent way?
- AI systems could be trained to simulate dream recursion, allowing them to "dream" through problems by exploring multiple probabilistic pathways before selecting the most coherent solution.

This suggests that studying dream cognition is not just valuable for understanding the human mind, but may also offer a blueprint for developing next-generation AI systems that possess deeper, more emergent forms of intelligence.

7.4 Future Research Directions

Several key research areas could significantly advance our understanding of dream cognition and its broader implications:

- Neuroimaging Studies: Can fMRI and EEG analysis differentiate non-linear dream cognition from ordinary memory retrieval?
- AI-Driven Dream Interpretation: Can machine learning models detect hidden structures in dream reports and predict dream evolution over time?

- Inducing Dream Awareness: Can neurostimulation or pharmacological methods enhance self-awareness and meta-cognition within dreams?
- Artificial Dreaming in AI: Can AI systems develop self-awareness through simulated dream-like cognitive architectures?

By integrating neuroscience, artificial intelligence, and emergent cognition theory, we may be able to uncover fundamental principles of self-awareness and consciousness that extend beyond both human and machine intelligence.

Ultimately, if dream cognition represents an emergent, recursive process for integrating memory, selfhood, and reality perception, then studying it may reveal not only how human consciousness is constructed, but also how intelligence itself may evolve in both biological and artificial systems.

8. Conclusion

The study of dream states has traditionally been framed within the context of memory consolidation, emotional regulation, and subconscious processing, but emerging evidence suggests that dreaming represents a far more active and complex cognitive phenomenon. Rather than being a passive byproduct of neural activity, dreams may serve as an arena for emergent cognition, where the mind engages in non-linear problem-solving, self-referential analysis, and recursive integration of past and present experiences.

The temporal fluidity of dreams—where memories from decades ago are experienced with present awareness, where self-identity fluctuates between multiple versions of the dreamer, and where waking reality is only gradually reconstructed—suggests that the human mind does not function in a strictly Markovian way. Unlike classical information-processing models, where each cognitive state depends only on the immediately preceding one, dream cognition appears to operate as a non-Markovian system, where past experiences remain dynamically entangled with the present and influence future self-narratives in unpredictable ways. This means that memory recall in dreams is not a simple retrieval process but an active, recursive reconfiguration of identity, emotion, and cognition over time.

These findings challenge conventional models of consciousness, neuroplasticity, and even the architecture of artificial intelligence. If the human mind functions as a self-referential, emergent system—where information is continuously reorganized across multiple temporal layers—then understanding dream cognition may provide a deeper insight into the very structure of intelligence itself.

8.1 Implications for Consciousness Studies

- If dreams represent a highly flexible, emergent state of cognition, then waking consciousness may be just one “collapsed” version of a broader, multi-state cognitive process.
- The presence of false awakenings, self-awareness within dreams, and recursive cognitive loops suggests that consciousness exists on a fluid spectrum, rather than a binary awake/asleep dichotomy.
- This challenges the traditional assumption that selfhood is static and instead supports models of consciousness as a continuously updating, dynamic phenomenon.

8.2 Implications for Neuroplasticity and Cognitive Adaptation

- If the brain actively reconfigures past experiences in dream states, this suggests that neural plasticity extends beyond waking learning and includes subconscious restructuring mechanisms.
- Dreaming may serve as a cognitive sandbox, where alternative scenarios, emotional responses, and problem-solving strategies are simulated before they influence waking behavior.
- Long-term dream tracking could reveal how unresolved emotional conflicts evolve over time, providing valuable insight into how the mind naturally adapts to past experiences.

8.3 Implications for Artificial Intelligence and Emergent Intelligence

- Current AI architectures are built on sequential, deterministic processing models, but the recursive, emergent nature of dream cognition suggests that intelligence may be more efficiently structured around non-linear, self-referential algorithms.
- Artificially inducing “dream-like” states in AI—where information is recombined in a parallel, superimposed manner—could lead to more advanced self-awareness, creative problem-solving, and novel concept generation in machine learning systems.
- By studying the architecture of recursive cognition in human dreaming, AI research may develop more sophisticated models of synthetic consciousness, with applications ranging from autonomous creativity to adaptive decision-making systems.

8.4 Final Thoughts: Dreaming as the Window to a Deeper Reality

The study of dreams has long been relegated to the fringes of scientific inquiry, dismissed as a subjective, unverifiable phenomenon. However, as cognitive neuroscience, artificial intelligence, and philosophy of mind converge, it is becoming clear that dreaming may hold the key to understanding the fundamental mechanisms of intelligence, memory, and selfhood. If dream cognition operates through recursive, emergent feedback loops, integrating past, present, and future selves, then it may provide the missing link in our understanding of how consciousness itself arises and evolves.

Ultimately, the study of dreams may be more than just a study of sleep—it may be a study of how intelligence itself emerges, adapts, and reshapes reality.

References

Baars, B. J. (1997). In the Theater of Consciousness: The Workspace of the Mind. Oxford University Press.

Buzsáki, G. (2006). Rhythms of the Brain. Oxford University Press.

Crick, F., & Mitchison, G. (1983). *The function of dream sleep. Nature*, 304(5922), 111-114. https://doi.org/10.1038/304111a0

Damasio, A. (1999). The Feeling of What Happens: Body and Emotion in the Making of Consciousness. Harcourt.

Domhoff, G. W. (2003). The Scientific Study of Dreams: Neural Networks, Cognitive Development, and Content Analysis. American Psychological Association.

Edelman, G. M. (1989). The Remembered Present: A Biological Theory of Consciousness. Basic Books.

Edison, T. (1921). *Sleep and creative problem-solving: Personal notebooks.* Thomas Edison Papers Archive.

Hobson, J. A., & McCarley, R. W. (1977). *The brain as a dream state generator: An activation-synthesis hypothesis of the dream process. American Journal of Psychiatry*, 134(12), 1335-1348. https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.134.12.1335

Hobson, J. A. (2009). REM Sleep and Dreaming: Towards a Theory of Protoconsciousness. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 10(11), 803-813. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2716

Kahn, D., & Hobson, J. A. (2005). *Dreaming and the brain: Toward a cognitive neuroscience of conscious states. Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 28(6), 793-814. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X05000130

Kekulé, F. A. (1865). *Sur la constitution des substances aromatiques. Bulletin de la Société Chimique de Paris*, 3, 98-110.

Llinás, R., & Paré, D. (1991). *Of dreaming and wakefulness. Neuroscience*, 44(3), 521-535. https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(91)90075-Y

Mitchison, G., & Crick, F. (1986). *The function of REM sleep: A theory of self-organization in the brain. Nature*, 322(6078), 633-635. https://doi.org/10.1038/322633a0

Penrose, R. (1994). Shadows of the Mind: A Search for the Missing Science of Consciousness. Oxford University Press.

Revonsuo, A. (2000). *The reinterpretation of dreams: An evolutionary hypothesis of the function of dreaming. Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 23(6), 877-901. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X00004015

Schredl, M. (2003). *Continuity between waking and dreaming: A proposal for a mathematical model. Sleep and Hypnosis*, 5(1), 38-52.

Tononi, G., & Cirelli, C. (2006). *Sleep function and synaptic homeostasis. Sleep Medicine Reviews*, 10(1), 49-62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smrv.2005.05.002

Walker, M. P. (2017). Why We Sleep: Unlocking the Power of Sleep and Dreams. Scribner.

Watson, D. (2001). *Dissociations of consciousness: Neurocognitive mechanisms and clinical implications. Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 110(3), 526-535. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.110.3.526

Wegner, D. M., Wenzlaff, R. M., & Kozak, M. (2004). *Dream suppression and the return of the repressed. Psychological Science*, 15(4), 232-236. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0956-7976.2004.00657.x

Zhang, W. (2016). *Lucid dreaming and consciousness: A new framework for understanding dream cognition. Consciousness and Cognition*, 42, 79-88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.concog.2016.03.017